



# PART FIVE: APPENDICES

- Auditor's report
- Fees and user charges
- Council Controlled organisations
- Elected members

# Auditor's Report



Report to the readers of Wellington City Council's Long-Term Council Community Plan Statement of Proposal for public consultation for the ten years commencing 1 July 2009.

The Auditor General is the auditor of Wellington City Council (the City Council). The Auditor General has appointed me, A P Burns, using the staff and resources of Audit New Zealand, to report on the Statement of Proposal for adoption of a Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP), on his behalf.

The Auditor General is required by section 84(4) of the Local Government Act 2002 (the Act) to report on:

- the extent to which the Statement of Proposal complies with the requirements of the Act;
- the quality of information and assumptions underlying the forecast information provided in the Statement of Proposal; and
- the extent to which the forecast information and proposed performance measures will provide an appropriate framework for the meaningful assessment of the actual levels of service provision.

It is not our responsibility to express an opinion on the merits of any policy content within the Statement of Proposal for adoption of an LTCCP.

## Opinion

### Overall Opinion

In our opinion the Statement of Proposal for adoption of an LTCCP of the City Council incorporating volumes 1 to 2

dated 26 March 2009 provides a reasonable basis for long term integrated decision-making by the City Council and for participation in decision-making by the public and subsequent accountability to the community about the activities of the City Council.

In forming our overall opinion, we considered the specific matters outlined in section 84(4) of the Act which we report on as follows.

### Opinion on Specific Matters Required by the Act

In our view:

- the City Council has complied with the requirements of the Act in all material respects demonstrating good practice for a council of its size and scale within the context of its environment;
- the underlying information and assumptions used to prepare the Statement of Proposal provide a reasonable and supportable basis for the preparation of the forecast information; and
- the extent to which the forecast information and proposed performance measures within the Statement of Proposal provide an appropriate framework for the meaningful assessment of the actual levels of service provision reflects good practice for a Council of its size and scale within the context of its environment.

Actual results are likely to be different from the forecast information since anticipated events frequently do not occur as expected and the variation may be material. Accordingly, we express no opinion as to whether the forecasts will be achieved.

Our report was completed on 26 March 2009, and is the date at which our opinion is expressed.

The basis of the opinion is explained below. In addition, we outline the responsibilities of the City Council and the Auditor, and explain our independence.

### Basis of Opinion

We carried out the audit in accordance with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000: Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information and the Auditor General's Auditing Standards, which incorporate the New Zealand Auditing Standards. We have examined the forecast financial information in accordance with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3400: The Examination of Prospective Financial Information.

We planned and performed our audit to obtain all the information and explanations we considered necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that the Statement of Proposal for adoption of an LTCCP does not contain material misstatements. If we had found material misstatements that were not corrected, we would have referred to them in our opinion.

Our audit procedures included assessing whether:

- the Statement of Proposal provides the community with sufficient and balanced information about the strategic and other key issues, choices and implications it faces to provide an opportunity for participation by the public in decision making processes;
- the City Council's financial strategy, supported by financial policies as included in the Statement of Proposal is

financially prudent, and has been clearly communicated to the community in the Statement of Proposal;

- the presentation of the Statement of Proposal complies with the legislative requirements of the Act;
- the decision-making and consultation processes underlying the development of the Statement of Proposal are compliant with the decision-making and consultation requirements of the Act;
- the information in the Statement of Proposal is based on materially complete and reliable asset or activity management plans;
- the agreed levels of service are fairly reflected throughout the Statement of Proposal;
- the key plans and policies adopted by the City Council have been consistently applied in the development of the forecast information;
- the assumptions set out within the Statement of Proposal are based on best information currently available to the City Council and provide a reasonable and supportable basis for the preparation of the forecast information;
- the forecast information has been properly prepared on the basis of the underlying information and the assumptions adopted and the financial information complies with generally accepted accounting practice in New Zealand;
- the rationale for the activities is clearly presented;
- the levels of service and performance measures are reasonable estimates and reflect the key aspects of the City Council's service delivery and performance; and

- the relationship of the levels of service, performance measures and forecast financial information has been adequately explained within the Statement of Proposal.

We do not guarantee complete accuracy of the information in the Statement of Proposal. Our procedures included examining on a test basis, evidence supporting assumptions, amounts and other disclosures in the Statement of Proposal and determining compliance with the requirements of the Act. We evaluated the overall adequacy of the presentation of information. We obtained all the information and explanations we required to support our opinion above.

### **Responsibilities of the Council and the Auditor**

The City Council is responsible for preparing a LTCCP under the Act, by applying the City Council's assumptions and presenting the financial information in accordance with generally accepted accounting practice in New Zealand. The City Council's responsibilities arise from Section 93 of the Act.

We are responsible for expressing an independent opinion on the Statement of Proposal for adoption of an LTCCP and reporting that opinion to you. This responsibility arises from section 15 of the Public Audit Act 2001 and section 84(4) of the Act.

### **Independence**

When reporting on the Statement of Proposal for adoption of an LTCCP we followed the independence requirements of

the Auditor General, which incorporate the independence requirements of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of New Zealand.

Other than this report and in conducting the annual audit, we have no relationship with or interests in the City Council.



**A P Burns**

Audit New Zealand  
On behalf of the Auditor General  
Wellington, New Zealand