Absolutely Positively **Wellington** City Council

Me Heke Ki Pōneke



Appendix H

Submissions Received

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Matthew Plummer

Postal address

7i / 10 Lorne Street

Email

matthew.plummer@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

- 6. Other comments:
- 7. Have we given adequate information?

Yes

What other information did you wish to know?

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Andrew Wharton

Postal address

126 Glanmire Road

Email

andrewjwharton@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

I live in Newlands and pass by the quarry twice a day. It's a perfect hill and location to quarry and eventually develop into industrial land.

7. Have we given adequate information?

Yes

What other information did you wish to know?

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

angelacoleman

Postal address

162 fraser avenue 6037

Email

angelabcoleman@hotmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Other (please specify): I live in Fraser Ave opposite the Johnsonville end of the quarry site.

6. Other comments:

It would appear that we need this.

It is unlikely that I will live to see the mitigation needed.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Bernadette Staal

Postal address

2 Trinidad Crescent

Email

staal.bernadette@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): The difference between 15 or 20 years is significant but at the same time not much of a difference. Obviously both need public consultation and both need to comply with the Resource Management act. I would determine which process will take the least amount of money and time, so the quarry operators can get on with their business and rate payers are not paying for un-necessary delays. I imagine some people are not going to be happy to have their home 70 meters away from a quarry but they are currently looking at it on a daily basis. If we take the most we can out of the one zone we are not needing to locate a new site and there is a longer term possibility of other business usage of the area when the quarry does actually close in the future. So for me the answer is the quickest, the safest and the faster process is my desired option.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Other (please specify): People have been looking at a Quarry for a life time. We should plant. I do however feel anything else is just a waste of time and money. Drivers should be concentrating on the road, kids will love to see the action. Environmentalists would love to see planting and regeneration and that is my option.

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Lets just get onto it and keep moving forward, lets utilise as much product as we can for and from other major construction projects e.g. Transmission Gully and the Petone to Tawa link road and lets look at recycling similar product so it is not wasted. Anything we can do will help extend the life of the Quarry is a plus.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Simon Miller

Postal address

63 Seaview Road, Seaview, Lower Hutt 5010

Email

simon.miller.qdc@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Quality Demolition and Conatrcting (2011) Ltd

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

The quarry is an asset to the Wellington Region & a necessary source of aggregate products for the construction and roading industry in the area

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

David Morgan

Postal address

26a Oriwa Street, Waikanae

Email

glenysanddavidmorgan@hotmail.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

A local quarry is critical as an important resource for economic growth.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Donaldson Lukose

Postal address

18B Shastri Terrace Khandallah

Email

dlukose001@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry Business near Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Potentially more slips will occur as you disturb, drill, blast and move rock and soil from the cliffs, on top of heavy rain in these hill side areas, just looking at the recent major slips

- Ngauranga Gorge slip 31 July 2017
- Ngaio Gorge slip Aug 2017
- Continuous slips along onslow road, khandallah

This new expansion will further devalue my property and unable to sell the house.

WCC/GWC have recently extended Taylor Preston for another 25 years regardless of any feedback and objection from residence, schools and business directly effected. All the approval condition and obligation by Taylor Preston in the consent has not been communicated to the parties directly effected. This is very disappointing as i been living here since 1998 and attended and presented my view in the formal hearing. In the consent condition the applicant was to communicate the improvements to the impacted parties and inform the community. This has not happened. And now Kiwipoint Quarry is also being extended by WCC. Can you show that Kiwipoint have mad any effort since 1998 to communicate its operation and planning to its surrounding neighborhood since 1998 beside emitting dusts and clogging the roofs, vehicles, windows and blasts. Have they provided pre-warning on blasts so the kids in the schools or at home dont think its an earth quake.?

I dont see any effort by Kiwipoint or WCC's part on providing information to the residence. This is the first communication from WCC since i been here since 1998 on this topic as the license is about to expire. The letter dates 20th Sept 2017 and arrived in my post 27th Sept and the submission closed on 30th OCT 2017. This is again very disappointing the commitment of both WCC and Kiwipoint on informing the community of its intention.

rgds Donaldson

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Ryan Walklin

Postal address

31/2-4 William Street. Murrumbeena VIC 3163, Australia

Email

ryan@testtoast.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

I own a property in Shastri Terrace, Khandallah, which looks south over the existing quarry and Ngaraunga Gorge. We support a new quarry within the north face of the gorge provided that noise & dust etc are mitigated during and after quarrying, both for the comfort of our tenants and ourselves if/when we reoccupy the property.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Michael Hodgen

Postal address

50 Rajkot Terrace, Broadmeadows

Email

michael.hodgen@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Ann Webster

Postal address

385 Westminster Avenue, Tamatea, Napier

Email

ann@nichecom.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

Artwork

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Andrew Rennie

Postal address

103 Burma Road

Email

andrew.rennie@slingshot.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): Option 1. Quit.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Other (please specify): Close the Quarry

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

I have lived with my family on Burma Road, above the quarry for nearly 30 years. I am opposed to any expansion of Quarry operations at the Kiwi Point quarry.

My opposition is due to many things:

- The noise that is generated at least 6 days a week from Rock crushers, Drilling and Heavy machinery.
- The detonation of explosives which are a Seismic events in themselves.
- The horrendous visual impact that has been created due to the earth works and now you want to destroy another hillside with Operations continuing for decades more. NO THANK YOU.
- The Ecological damage and sedimentary run off into the harbour are also concerns.
- The current North face cut is a visual disgrace and is cut at nearly 70 degrees angle. At that angle, the stated revegetation is a myth and has just been left to grow weeds, scrub and gorse.
- Operating Large Excavators and Drilling Machinery with explosives 25-70 meters from Residential housing is just plain ludicrous and mean.
- Your stated future Commercial/Residential use of the land is marginal given the 'Rock slide' nature of the hills. (would you like to live/work below those cuts, Rock falls @ Ngauranga Gorge SH1 come to mind)

 Let Horokiwi Quarries supply the aggregate needed for Wellingtons development. Its better quality rock and approximately the same distance from Wellington central. (you have to drive up Ngauranga Gorge upon exiting Kiwi Point Quarry)

I moved to the area, un-beknown to me the issues surrounding Ngauranga Gorge industrial area, re the Air pollution from Taylor Preston and the associated Rendering Plant (now defunct) and the Noise, Visual Ecological pollution from mining at Kiwi Point Quarry. I would not have, knowing the issues.

WCC having a finger in the pie from these operations, this does not lead to a subjective and non bias stance. As history has shown WCC waive the rights of local residents when it suits.

Option 1. CLOSE IT DOWN - NO TO EXPANSION OF KIWI POINT QUARRY.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Nick Williams

Postal address

109 Totara Rd Miramar

Email

nick.tina@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Bedrock Pavers

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

Kiwi Point is an important supplier to my business

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

James Ogilvie

Postal address

144 CouttS Street, Kilbirnie

Email

james@morepork1999.com

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Morepork Trenching (1999) Limited

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

Our company provides extensive trenching working to core Wellington infrastructure. If the quarry was to close it would have a significant impact on cost for all our wellington work. These costs would have to be passed on to the various utilities and council we work for. We strongly believe that the quarry is a strategic asset to Wellington and must be kept open and extended to maximize value for all Wellington residents.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Clive Graham

Postal address

PO Box 54121, Mana, Wellington 5247

Email

kirstyc@visioncorp.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

NZ Vision Corporation Ltd

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Tony Mallon

Postal address

14 Dominion Park Street, Johnsonville

Email

mallongiants@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Unsure

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

6. Other comments:

many thanks for sending us some excellent information about the extension to the quarry.

While I am in favour of retaining jobs and the location of the quarry I have some queries about some issues in the letter and "have your say" PDF that we read through.

- While the operations in the existing quarry are quite hidden, you say that you are going to "screen" the operation from the existing road traffic as to avoid people watching while driving into Wellington on a very busy and speed restricted road. How do you plan to do this? The road is a lot higher than some low level screening.
- Due to earthquakes, how much seismic research has been completed to justify the cut so close to the existing north houses and the housing on the southern cut?
- And who was the designer of the page 6 with the options available? Its really quite deceptive and may upset some locals because my eyes went to the yellow line and the 2 bottom options as my only options. To give a clear reflection of what you needed to achieve it should have looked something like this (options.docx)

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Stuart Haselden

Postal address

160 Fraser Avenue, Johnsonville

Email

lads@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Seems like the best long term option is to go with the full option 4. Creates more land and gets best use from resource.

As long as dust kept within site etc seems good.

Would be good to get all the northern areas that are finished quarrying back in native bush as well:)

Thanks

Stuart Haselden

160 Fraser Ave

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Lynne King

Postal address

not given

Email

lynne.king@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Other (please specify): Not detailed

6. Other comments:

Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposals for the quarry. I am in favour of maximum development of the south face. I have confidence that the area will be screened and planted when the work is finished.

I think the demand for aggregate justifies this work.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Dorothy Matla

Postal address

Not given

Email

matla@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): close down the quarry

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

I would like to record my strong dislike of the stupid idea for the further use of the Quarry. It is so short sighted for this to be used as the past winter has shown up many slips on hillsides such as these. As a ratepayer of the City Council, I would like to see money spent on another source for aggregate etc. and not on unused cycle lanes!! As to the future of our property, I wonder a lot about the loss in value, purely because of a City Council mismanagement. Thank you, Dorothy Matla

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Jonathan Austin

Postal address

12 Nagpur Terrace, Broadmeadows

Email

kaliloa@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): Option 1 Kiwi Point Quarry should close at the end of its resource consent

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Option 1 is the best option. Kiwi Point quarry should close at the end of its current resource consent.

We bought our property in the knowledge that the resource consent would expire and with the expectation that it would close. If a decision is taken to extend the quarry then residents should be compensated accordingly.

No capital city in the world has a major quarry at its heart, and in the middle of a residential area. How can Wellington expect to be a modern city with an industrial operation of this scale in its centre, and obvious to all who enter it from the north?

The health risks from ongoing dust, as well as the negative effects of noise, should also be factored in to the costings.

There are many other suitable sites in the Wellington region.

The cost of aggregate is borne by developers of new buildings. The marginal difference in cost of sourcing from a different quarry would have minimal impact on the overall cost of building, including of roads. Horokiwi quarry, for example, is a mere 7-10 minutes further away.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Margaret Burton

Postal address

134 Burma Road, Johnsonville

Email

burton.peggy@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Unsure

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Lighting feature

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Will the face be towards the harbour side or facing west towards Burma Road? I used to look out on lovely green bush from my Malvena Major apartment until the cliffs on the left - north face end of the quarry area - were stripped bare for rock. Some of the green is coming back thank goodness, but there is still a lot of scarring, now getting clay I think.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

lan and Beverley Dawe

Postal address

not given

Email

bitatmm@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Because of economics.

On p10 of the brochure is the area to the east where drilling took place some 4-5 years ago not included in the option? If quarrying is now finished on the northern side, will mitigation proceed in the near future ref KPQ Plan no WCC-KPQ-001/V1, quarry areas A,B,C,D

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Richard Lang

Postal address

Malvina Major Retirement Village

Email

rjland@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Unsure

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

I should start by explaining that my apartment in the retirement village faces the north west bank in the quarry. As such, I will have only a partial view of the proposed quarry extensions on the south bank. From my perspective, there are three issues - my view, the likely amount of noise and amount of dust resulting from the quarry work. As for the view, it will make little difference. Nor do I anticipate that the noise will be an issue. My main concern is the amount of dust that the quarry activities will generate. In the common south-easterly wind, the dust will head up the valley towards my apartment. I have no idea how the dust problem may be mitigated either in the short or long term. I appreciate the need for a quarry and the advantages of having it sited within the city boundaries. Thus I have no wish to oppose the proposed extension but I would urge that serious consideration be given to how to reduce the dust that will result. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Mr & Mrs Colins

Postal address

16a Dungarvan Road, Newlands

Email

notgiven@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

Other (please specify): Harbour views will be great once the top of the hill is gone

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Wellington needs stones for building and the hill is only gorse and shrub so end result is better for us all

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Brian Bouzaid

Postal address

245 Main Road, Otaihanga

Email

notgiven@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

6. Other comments:

A city requires affordable aggregate to maintain its growth and infrastructure without having to import at the cost to the ratepayers. I support this and suggest WCC also considers its long term aggregate needs as even with this extension, Wellington is at risk of having to import its aggregate needs in 20-30 years at a significant increase in costs to ratepayers and also significant increase in road transport.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Lindsay Debes

Postal address

Not given

Email

lindsay_debes@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Lighting feature

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Provides valuable service for infrastructure in Wellington

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Bill Long

Postal address

bill@everiss.nz

Email

notgiven@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Everiss NZ

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Stan Goodman

Postal address

32 Elizabeth Street, Waikanae

Email

Stan@goodmans.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Goodmans

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

Wellington needs quarries. Quarries need to be sustainable for the future of Wellington's infrastructure.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Vaughan Goodman

Postal address

not given

Email

vaughan@goodmans.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Darcy Brittliff

Postal address

not given

Email

darcy@orogen.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

Other (please specify): As a quarry it is expected to be a visual worksite

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Business near Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Go for it!

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Chris Rodgers

Postal address

not given

Email

cbrodgers@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Adam Clarke

Postal address

not given

Email

adam@mclatchieandsharp.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

McLatchie and Sharp Ltd

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Jon Wright

Postal address

144 Coutts Street, Kilburnie

Email

jon@morepork1999.com

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Morepork Development 1999 Ltd

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

There is a lack of quarries operating in the greater Wellington area - lack of available quarry products within Wellington region will have a serious effect on contracting costs across the board - roading, infrastructure, commercial and private development

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Jim Ginty

Postal address

P O Box 6873, Marion Square, Wellington 6141

Email

notgiven@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Multi Civil Contractors Ltd

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

Wellington needs this resource in order to grow the city

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Margaret Jeune

Postal address

not given

Email

Jeunes@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Other (please specify): A Wellington resident who rents accommodation

6. Other comments:

re-vegetate the area adjacent to the proposed expansion area. The land would need to be re-classified as reserve land, the same as Tylers Stream Reserve and Maldive Street Street Reserve

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Wayne Wright

Postal address

43 Woodridge Drive Woodridge

Email

wayne@woodridge.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Woodridge Homes

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

Wellington cannot grow without quarries

Its no different to the earthworks that happened to create the gorge itself so totally in keeping with area

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Kevin Moffat

Postal address

PO Box 22301, Khandallah

Email

moffat@actrix.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Colin Bouttell

Postal address

103b Awarua St, Ngaio, Wellington

Email

cbouttell@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting
Urban design feature eg decorative panels
Artwork

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

We are about to build a house on the corner of Cessna Way and Spenmoor St, so will have a clear view of the proposed site as indicated in the photos from Spenmoor St.

The proposed bare quarry face looks ugly, but if it's a necessary part of on-going development for the region where environmental impact is being minimised, and the concrete foundations for our house will probably come from the same quarry, then we don't want to be NIMBYs making life difficult, however we have 3 conditions for our approval to be granted:

- 1) Any blasting or drilling of the rock face is restricted to the hours of 9am 5pm Monday to Friday.
- 2) Hydroseeding is to be performed on any sections of the quarry face where material extraction is complete, so the mitigation work is done over time rather than all at once at the end of the useful life of the quarry extraction and operations.
- 3) As this is a profit-making business operation of the council which will have an on-going visual and audible impact on the peaceful enjoyment of our new home, we also request a 10% reduction in our rates bill for the period of the proposed quarry operations. We may have not chosen the building site for our home had we known about the proposed quarry expansion.

Sincerely,

- Colin Bouttell

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Ong Su-Wuen

Postal address

74 Tarawera Road, Raroa 6037

Email

cheapandy@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

I do not think screening is important

Other (please specify): screening isn't important, but of the options, planting is my preference

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

We support extending the quarry subject to there being no increase in noise or dust from the extended operation. Thanks.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Simon Gatenby

Postal address

Private Bag 13-908 Johnsonville, Wellington 6440

Email

simon.gatenby@tpl.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Taylor Preston Ltd

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Unsure

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Business near Kiwi Point Quarry

7. Other comments:

We may support quarry development but at the moment both proposals would have a significant impact on our business.

Depending upon the option chosen there are significant H&S issues in regard to our staff and others who come to our plant - in particular the people/vehicle interface.

Taylor Preston have serious concerns about quarry land use in Ngauranga Gorge and at this point we are not prepared to support quarry expansion until our concerns have been addressed.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Bruce Perry

Postal address

not given

Email

brunoent30@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Other (please specify): Planting would be palliative and might settle some of the protests. I would expexct restorative planting to proceed as the site develops. A bit like planting now proceeds concurrently with work progress for instance the Kapiti Expressway and Haywards Interchange.

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

Other (please specify): Resident in Malvina Major who will in the long term hae better harbour views but don't expect to experience much of it.

6. Other comments:

Option 4 would be a better economic project. Also option 3 only does half the job and the 2nd leg would be inevitable. Also it makes better use of the site and greater flexibility during the project.

Take a firm hand and listen only to constructive criticism.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

B D Martin

Postal address

51b 134 Burma Road, Johnsonville

Email

notgiven@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Mrs Carol Mundell

Postal address

92 Burma Road Khandallah Wellington 6035

Email

carolbeannz@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): Option 1

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

My name is Carol Langton Mundell. I live at 92 Burma Road, Khandallah. My husband and I have owned the house for 37 years during which time we have made our life together with an established native garden and a view of the harbour, raised three children and now our grand-children visit. Recently, I nursed my dying husband at home. This home and the memories are precious to me. As a local school teacher for 20 years, this is my neighbourhood; my community.

I am proud of our city. Arriving in Wellington – 'The Coolest Little Capital in the World' and 'The 5th Best Place to Live' (Lonely Planet Guide) should be a memorable experience, yet at the SH1 entrance to our 'cool little capital' sits a defaced landscape and a brightly lit meat works, both clogged with huge trucks lumbering on and off the steep motorway.

And now 'The council must decide whether to shut the quarry or give itself permission to dig further, into another hillside, which would make it visible to drivers heading south down Ngauranga Gorge.' WCC proposes to let Kiwi Point (run by Holcim NZ, a private company paying royalties and lease to the council) blast open another quarry face, this time right where motorists driving south first encounter the beautiful and astonishing, view of this jewel of a harbour city. Our well-regarded city and its beautiful harbour deserves a classy, sweeping approach from the north. Not one that is scarred with a ragged landscape and the mess and stink of an industrial park out of place in the modern world. Where is the vision for our first-class city? This is 2017 not 1917.

Councillor Foster, responsible for Wellington's urban development folio, has naturally been advised by Holcim to push for the expansion of Kiwi Point. In response, Foster says it is all about cost-saving to the rate payers and he doesn't foresee problems for residents 'other than noise – which they already endured from the current quarry.' Does this mean that because we have 'endured' it for so long it doesn't matter anymore if we are subjected to these gusts of noise and dirt damaging our health, our homes and our properties?

The reality is, the quarry is (a) excessively noisy to the point where residents are terrified of the sudden and violent detonated explosions reverberating around homes and rattling windows, (b) the quarry is dusty, so dusty with the rock

crushing and large machinery grinding away that we have to wash our cars and homes regularly to get rid of the grit and grime that attracts mould and some of the children cough and sneeze all day when home, and (c) the quarry like all quarries is an ugly eye-sore; a vista that residents endure. I don't think endure is the correct verb Mr Foster. The quarry looks and sounds like a war zone. My house guests thought today's explosion was an earthquake and came running out from their room. Personally, I'd thought a truck had crashed into my house. Coupled with the TLP Ltd. meat work's long history of air contamination and noise and light pollution, the WCC industrial park needs to go. Over 30 years we have actively complained about the on-going noise and dust from Holcim's Kiwi Point Quarry as well as the poor rendering system and odours of the meat works (as I did today 27/10/17) with the foul smells coming from the meat works.

As far as the consultation process is concerned, we rate payers have not been given the opportunity to choose from all the options that were advised to them. 'Specialists' (unknown) presented four options for the future of Kiwi Point Quarry. WCC have manipulated the process and are only offering us Options 3 and 4 for consideration. As a resident rate payer, I would like to choose Option 1 - to close the quarry once the present site is depleted.

On page 8 of the WCC Have your say on Kiwi Point Quarry handout (labelled New Zealand Government) it says "Ngauranga Gorge is a highly modified environment but retains landscape qualities and characteristics of value. In particular, the gorge is noted as part of the gateway experience to Wellington". Then page 7 of the handout tells us eight times that it is all about the costs.

I live in hope that *Holcim's Quarry will be forced to adhere to terms of safe engagement, and stop its offensive air and noise contamination beyond its boundaries. Only then will I be able to live out my retirement, in my beautiful home with my grandchildren, in the secure knowledge that our environment, its air particles and noise levels, is safe. According to Wellington City Council's Proposed District Plan Change 64 – June 2008, the quarry is subject to specific rules as well as to other relevant rules applying elsewhere in Suburban Centres to mitigate adverse effects. I should like to see these rules and read a definition of 'adverse effects'.

It is the Council's intention that cut faces should be designed to yield a relatively natural landform in the long term and that rehabilitation of cut faces should begin as early as practicable as a well-vegetated Open Space.

I see no evidence of that yet on the north face, to which we look directly.

To avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse impacts on Residential Areas, the Plan provides for the general containment of Suburban Centres. Any expansion beyond the identified Suburban Centre boundaries requires a plan change. This will enable a full assessment of any environmental effects.

In addition, performance standards have been imposed on Suburban Centre activities to avoid, remedy or mitigate the impact of development on Residential Areas. More stringent standards apply in the interface between Suburban Centres and Residential Areas so that residents will have added protection. Maintaining the character, appearance and environment in and around Suburban Centres is an important objective of the Plan. Maintaining character is an impossible task when you are destroying whole hillsides of earth that flank the entrance to the harbour city. It's an ugly, rugged landscape topped with gorse. No amount of screening/ mitigation will hide the ugly defaced blast-site that you are intent upon creating. Motorists driving in from the north are descending 100s of metres down the steep Ngauranga Gorge and will be looking straight at the proposed quarry face. Motorists heading north will be intent on watching the road. They get to view the ugly mess of the closed northern site. A. 'buffer' area will suffice for those few moments where the motorist is level with the quarry entrance, the so-called Suburban Centres Area. Residents will never benefit from any 'buffer' as they sit far above the site.

"...specific provisions relating to onsite management of noise, dust, vibration, visual impact, water quality a procedure for addressing any complaints objectives and principles for the rehabilitation of the site...

I used to get phone calls from the site manager to alert me to upcoming blasts. No longer. When my neighbour with little children asked for this service because the detonations scared his little children, he got short shrift from the guy on the other end of the phone saying: 'this is a guarry not a kindergarten!'

It is almost guaranteed that our submissions against quarry expansion will be ignored. We believe 'the deal is already done', as with the TPL meat works where a group of us made submissions and spoke at meetings only to find that TPL had been awarded a 25-year consent to continue after TPL themselves had requested a 20-year consent. Submitting complaints or protests to WCC or GWCR is a waste of time.

*Kiwi Point Quarry is a greywacke quarry owned by the Wellington City Council (WCC) but run by Holcim (NZ) Limited, a private company who pays royalties to WCC and a leading supplier of cut-price cement, aggregates, concrete and lime for infrastructure projects. In 2009, Holcim signed an agreement with Atom Hire Ltd and took over the operation of Kiwi Point Quarry and Martinborough Sand and Gravel. Kiwi Point provides the raw materials used to pave roads, and build bridges and buildings across the Wellington region along with the Hutt Valley 's Horokiwi Quarry and Belmont Quarry, Kapiti's Waitohu Quarry, and Otaki Quarry.

Its involvement in the New Zealand building industry dates back to 1888, and today it operates more than 37 sites and

employs approximately 530 people. It is part of the Holcim Group, one of the world's largest cement companies represented in over 70 countries and on all continents. Holcim New Zealand Managing Director, Jeremy Smith said, "Kiwi Point is a well-run operation and acquiring it provides Holcim with the opportunity to expand into the Wellington region". The company currently owns quarries in Bombay and Hastings supplying approximately one million tonnes of premium aggregates annually. It also has interests in other quarry operations - Millbrook Quarries (50% owned) and Atlas Resources (25% owned).

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Sandy Wills

Postal address

33 Gurkha Crescent

Email

sandywills33@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

I live in Gurkha Crescent which is very, very close to the proposed development. I was horrified to see how close the maximum development would come to existing housing (I still think 100 metres for the 2nd proposal is too close but at least it is preferable). You have focused on the visual element but not on the noise and dust/dirt that this type of proposal will cause nearby residents. I understand the need to increase capacity but this needs to be balanced with consideration for existing neighbours. I have to admit I ignored earlier letters as assumed the existing quarry was being extended and that is some distance from our house - my fault for only skim reading - but suspect a lot of people in this street have no idea of how close and how large the proposed quarry extension is. The dirt and dust this will kick up and blow onto our properties as well as the noise will greatly undermine the enjoyment of living here as well as turn off potential buyers when we eventually want to sell.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Gerald Pecover

Postal address

5/142 Onslow Rd Khandallah Wellington

Email

g.pecover@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

The proximity of the quarried stone to where it is used is the priority. It's available, a system is in place, it is effective and it works. Moving it means moving jobs which could be detrimental to some of the staff and contractors. Yes it will be unsightly for a period of time. A sight we will soon become accustomed to (it will extend gradually, not over night!) and as we bowl down SH1, our eyes should be on the road, not the quarry. Besides, 99.99% of the Wellington region is quite lovely; this is a temporary but acceptable blot on the landscape.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Elizabeth Scurr

Postal address

Woodridge Drive

Email

elizabeth.scurr@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

irina

Postal address

137 homebush road

Email

irina.jankow@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): no extansion

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Martin McDonnell

Postal address

3/324 the terrace

Email

Martin@peryerconstruction.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

Artwork

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

As a council asset make sure the ratepayers are getting a fair return on the investment. Why isn't there a move user friendly retail type set up there for fate payer and public to purchase landscaping materials

Response ID:14 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Martin

Postal address

McDonnell

Email

martin@peryerconstruction.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 25, 2017 04:11:49 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 25, 2017 04:11:49 Success: Email Sent to: martin@peryerconstruction.co.nz

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Murray & Kristina Foster

Postal address

24 Imran Terrace

Email

fosterfa@icloud.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): I don't believe that the quarry should be extended. While I appreciate the money that the Council would like to save, Wellington is a beautiful city and it is not appropriate that a quarry is one of the first things that visitors and residents see upon entering our city. If we had to choose, we would select Medium, but that is under duress.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Light projection

Other (please specify): It would also be important to think about noise reduction

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

We would like Council to fully investigate alternative sites for the quarry, understanding the financials involved however this is one of the main routes into the city and should be preserved.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Nicola and Brad Young

Postal address

37B Gurkha Crescent, Khandallah, Wellington

Email

nic_brad@clear.net.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): We do not support option 3 and 4

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion. Firstly, we would like to acknowledge the visit from Logan and Jennie on 25 October, which enabled us to clarify the boundaries of the proposed options, the risks and the plans for the proposed quarry.

Please find below our submission on the Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation.

We do not support either option 3 or option 4, for the reasons outlined below.

- 1. First and foremost, we are deeply concerned about the seismic risk to the land surrounding the proposed quarry expansion, specifically the risk of instability to the land on which our properties are built. If stability is affected, we believe this presents a public safety risk, not only for those residents living beside the quarry, but those using Ngauranga Gorge.
- 2. We are concerned by the environmental/ecological impacts, particularly the loss of Indigenous forest vegetation, and reduced habitation for birds and wildlife.
- 3. We are concerned about the decrease in the quality of life for nearby residents, such as:
- o Noise pollution
- o Discharge of contaminants into air (including dust), water and land
- o Change in aspect/visual effects
- o Reduction in privacy
- o Excessive light from quarry operations at night time
- o Deterioration in visual outlook.
- 4. We are concerned about the negative impact the proposed quarry expansion options will have on property values. A number of sources show there are detrimental effects on property prices for properties located next to new quarries (with some dropping in value by 25 percent or more). It will be an added burden to those wishing to sell their properties. For many of us, our house is our largest investment, and it's heart breaking to know that a project such as the proposed quarry extension has the potential to go ahead, and the nearby residents are the ones that bear the financial and emotional cost.
- 5. While we value the opportunity to provide feedback on the expansion proposals, we are concerned that the feedback form is misleading. For example, it states that the visual impact of option 4 will be similar to option 3, however, based on the information we have received, we believe this to be incorrect. We also feel that not enough emphasis was placed on whether the public supports or not supports the proposed quarry expansion, but instead was focussed on visual options to hide the impact of the quarry.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Silvia Rudzki

Postal address

37a Gurkha Cres, Khandallah

Email

silvia.rudzki@clear.net.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): I do not support option 3 or 4

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion. Firstly, we would like to acknowledge the visit from Logen and Jennie on 25 October, which enabled me to clarify the boundaries of the proposed options, the risks and the plans for the proposed quarry. Please find below our submission on the Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation. I do not support either option 3 or option 4, for the reasons outlined below.

- 1. First and foremost, I am deeply concerned about the seismic risk to the land surrounding the proposed quarry expansion, specifically the risk of instability to the land on which our properties are built. If stability is affected, we believe this presents a public safety risk, not only for those residents living beside the quarry, but those using Ngauranga Gorge.
- 2. I am concerned by the environmental/ecological impacts, particularly the loss of Indigenous forest vegetation, and reduced habitation for birds and wildlife.
- 3. I am concerned about the decrease in the quality of life for nearby residents, such as:
- o Noise pollution
- o Discharge of contaminants into air (including dust), water and land
- o Change in aspect/visual effects
- o Reduction in privacy
- o Excessive light from quarry operations at night time
- o Deterioration in visual outlook

0.

- 4. I am concerned about the negative impact the proposed quarry expansion options will have on property values. A number of sources show there are detrimental effects on property prices for properties located next to new quarries (with some dropping in value by 25 percent or more). It will be an added burden to those wishing to sell their properties. For many of us, our house is our largest investment, and it's heart breaking to know that a project such as the proposed quarry extension has the potential to go ahead, and the nearby residents are the ones that bear the financial and emotional cost.
- 5. While I value the opportunity to provide feedback on the expansion proposals, I am concerned that the feedback form is misleading. For example, it states that the visual impact of option 4 will be similar to option 3, however, based on the information we have received, we believe this to be incorrect. I also feel that not enough emphasis was placed on whether the public supports or not supports the proposed quarry expansion, but instead was focussed on visual options to hide the impact of the quarry.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Geoff Copps

Postal address

geoff.copps@wellingtonnz.com

Email

geoff.copps@wellingtonnz.com

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

WREDA

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): No preference

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Other (please specify): Interested party

7. Other comments:

Kiwi Point is home to a significant business in the Wellington region in the form of Taylor Preston Limited who are engaged in export meat processing employing over 700 people at the peak of the season.

We would stress that whichever development option is pursued, the business continuity and viability of Taylor Preston should not be compromised in any way.

The role of WCC as "landlord" to all operations on the site allows it to stipulate that any quarry operator does not impact negatively and in fact, cooperates with Taylor Preston at an operational level. We would urge the Council to take this role.

We would also urge WCC to work with the other Council's in the region to scope out the future quarrying sites that best suit the region regardless of which jurisdiction they are located in. The issue of environmentally and economically sustainable rock availability is best considered regionally.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Glenn Gollop

Postal address

42 Gurkha Crescent

Email

glenn.gollop@vodafone.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): no expansion

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

To whom it may concern.

I have relatively recently purchased my home at 42 Gurkha Cresent, Khandallah (May 2016) with a view to retiring in a Wellington property with substantial views and these I have come to adore.

The news of this expansion plan after extensive research into any plans for the reserve and that boarders my property (Tyers Stream Reserve), Abattoirs and the Kiwi Point Quarry in April and May 2016 prior to tendering for this property is devastating.

I sold a rental property at 53 Calcutta Street (2015) and a Beach House in Riversdale (Mar 2016) after 18 years of ownership to be able to afford this property.

I recently (25th Sep 2017) paid a 50% deposit (\$13k) on new glass balustrades for the decks and have a builder arriving Monday (2nd Oct) to begin strengthening the decks) in perpetration. Total cost approx. 45k.

I have plans to landscape my property as it boarders the reserve and the Abattoirs land and these have been left to their own devices over the years. This will attract a further cost of \$10-15k.

I am vehemently opposed to any expansion of Kiwi Point Quarry for the reasons following: General/Environmental Opposition:

- 1. Firstly, I believe it is irresponsible for a council to allow these sorts of businesses to operate in a central location like this. Both the Taylor Preston meat works and the Kiwi Point quarry are at odds with the residential environments all around them.
- 2. I note new residential building continues all around in Newlands, Frazer Av etc. and I am also quite sure they also had no prior knowledge of this plan which I am positive have only recently been proposed/released;
- 3. I now note the Kiwi Point Quarry is council owned. This frankly disgusts me and I will be also lodging my verbal opposition at council meetings to this plan. Now I understand how approvals for this quarry have been approved to date:
- 4. Council continues to increase rates due to increased cost of ownership of residential housing such as mine (approx. \$300k over RV) then stabs us in the back with these sorts of plans.
- 5. The noise pollution the quarry works will generate with heavy equipment establishing the new diggings then day in day out operations for years and years will have a huge impact on my neighbourhood;
- 6. The visual impact this proposal will create will devastate my properties value;
- 7. The environment impact to the area after the positive impacts Zealandia is having throughout Karori, Wilton, Crofton Downs, Ngaio, and now Khandallah will cease.
- 8. The visual impact this proposal will have for those entering wellington via Nauranga Gorge due to the works will be highly unappealing:
- 9. The detonation of explosives which are a Seismic events in themselves. The risks this presents is unacceptable after the volume of slips within the Khandallah/Ngaio area I have personally witnessed;
- 10. The Ecological damage and sedimentary run off into the harbour:
- 11. The current North face cut is a visual disgrace and is cut at nearly a 60-70 degree angle. At that angle, the stated re-vegetation is a myth and has just been left to grow weeds, scrub and gorse. The planned regrowth is rubbish. Look at the old part of the quarry;
- 12. Risk of rock falls into Ngauranga Gorge SH1
- 13. Operating large machinery in a residential area is not fair to residents
- 14. Operating explosives in a residential area is absurd.
- 15. WCC having a finger in the pie from these operations, this does not lead to a subjective and non-bias stance. As history has shown WCC waive the rights of local residents when it suits.

Personal Cost:

- 16. I have a property I paid approx. \$1.1m for, am spending an additional \$75k in improvements. I will be the most impacted resident of all to this plan. It has a material cost impact to me I value in (but not limited too) in excess of \$250k;
- 17. This proposal is based on a financial business case and a decision process will be undertaken based on the "greater good" by WCC. This should include the cost to all residents negatively impacted by this proposal; I want my objection to this proposal counted and my recommendation for the following:

Option 1. CLOSE IT DOWN - NO TO EXPANSION OF KIWI POINT QUARRY.

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Sean Johnson

Postal address

notgiven

Email

sean.d.johnson@hotmail.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An organisation

3. Name of organisation

Wellington City Youth Council

4. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

5. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

Artwork

6. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Other (please specify): Youth Council

7. Other comments:

Introduction

1. The Wellington City Youth Council welcomes the opportunity to submit on the proposed expansion of the Kiwi Point Quarry. The Youth Council recognises the importance of a source of rock and aggregate that is close to the city. We broadly support the adoption of 'Option 4' (maximum development of the south face), provided that certain mitigation efforts are undertaken.

Overview of Submission

- 2. The submission by the Wellington City Youth Council on Kiwi Point Quarry will address the following topics:
- a. Broad support of maximum development.
- b. Mitigation efforts.
- c. Proposed screens.

Broad Support of Maximum Development

- 3. The Youth Council supports maximum development of the south face of Kiwi Point Quarry, provided our concerns around mitigation are considered.
- 4. The Youth Council acknowledges the economic argument put forward in the consultation document in favour of expanding the current quarry and believes that this argument is a sound one.
- 5. The Youth Council also notes that a similar argument to the economic argument can be made with regards to environmental factors. That is, once it has been established that we need a quarry, it is environmentally preferable to ensure shorter material travel distance and to not create new environmental impact in a new location. This favours expanding the current quarry over starting a new one, all other factors being equal.
- 6. Given that we believe it preferable to expand the current quarry over starting a new one, the Youth Council supports maximum development over medium development. The long term negative effects of both types of development will be similar, specifically when looking at the attractiveness of the site and environmental impact. So, it makes sense to take the maximum development option which allows us to get the most out of the site for that impact.
- 7. In addition to the above points, the Youth Council notes that development of the south face of the quarry might have a positive impact on the resilience of the Ngauranga Gorge corridor. This is because by scaling back the hillside the risk of a landslide onto the road decreases in the event of an earthquake or a heavy storm.

Mitigation Efforts

- 8. The Youth Council recognises that although we do support the maximum development option, this option does entail some negative effects which are essential to mitigate if the project goes ahead. Our support is conditional upon the reasonable mitigation of these environmental and visual issues being taken. We note Council's similar appetite for mitigation in the consultation document. The negative effects that we anticipate are:
- a. The quarry will be something of an eyesore in the Wellington 'gateway experience'.
- b. The quarry will be something of an eyesore to neighbouring residents.
- c. There will be a negative environmental impact in the short term.
- d. There will be a negative environmental impact in the long term.
- 9. Our thoughts with regards to 'a.' and 'b.' will be covered in the next section 'Screens'.
- 10. With regards to the environmental impact there are several things that the Youth Council believes that Council should consider.
- a. Most importantly, the Youth Council supports implementing the full early and post quarry mitigation package outlined in the photo supplement to the consultation document. It is important that the Council both makes the quarry look aesthetically pleasing during operations and returns it to an acceptable state afterwards.
- b. The Youth Council supports the proposal in the consultation document to re-vegetate the area adjacent to the proposed expansion area. We also agree that it would be good to classify this area as reserve land to encourage permanent positive environmental impact.
- c. The Youth Council further recommends that the Council considers turning the quarry site into a nature park after the quarry is exhausted. This area could be a great space to set up a series of short walks or mountain bike tracks. Especially in conjunction with the reserve area mentioned above and similar areas close by. Screens
- 11. The Youth Council notes the proposal to place manmade screens along the motorway near the quarry site to block views of it. In general Youth Council believes that such screens would not do too much work, but that the concept has some merit.
- 12. Youth Council believes that the usage of screening on State Highway 1 should be put in place primarily for assistance to vegetation growth as mentioned in the consultation document. Although if it is not needed for that purpose it may not need to be established at all. Motorists will only be passing the area for a very short amount of time, meaning that the resources put into creating a screen there may not be worth the effort.

- 13. There should be a lot of active engagement with the residents who will be able to see the quarry from their houses and see if they would want screening too. This screening need not be in the form of manmade screens, but instead could be in the form of small trees, shrubbery or other natural bush. This is to mimic the views they would have had prior to quarrying.
- 14. The natural form of screening through small trees, shrubbery and other natural bush is not limited to just screening for residential areas. It could be extended to the potential screens on State Highway 1 as an alternative to a more manmade structure (sculpture, light piece etc).

Summary

15. In this submission the Youth Council outlines our support for maximum development of the south face of Kiwi Point Quarry. We support this if appropriate mitigation of some effects takes place. We have outlined our thoughts on some of the mitigation strategies already proposed by council and added some of our own thoughts and ideas. It is important that Wellington has a source of rock and aggregate to support city growth and expanding our current quarry is the right place to do that.

Response ID:8 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Matthew Allen

Postal address

49 St Johns Tce

Email

chuffedkiwi@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): Close the quarry and open a new site outside of the city as its an eyesore already let's not make it worse.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Other (please specify): Not needed if it's closed.

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Close the quarry and open a new site outside of the city as its an eyesore already. Let's not make it worse.

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 24, 2017 22:14:33 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 24, 2017 22:14:33 Success: Email Sent to: chuffedkiwi@gmail.com

Response ID:9 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Chris Anderson

Postal address

149A Wallace St, Mt Cook, Wellington

Email

christopher.anderson354@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 24, 2017 22:16:13 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 24, 2017 22:16:13 Success: Email Sent to: christopher.anderson354@gmail.com

Response ID:35 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

James Bacero

Postal address

72G Hazlewood Avenue

Email

bacerojc@yahoo.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

Artwork

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Oct 09, 2017 06:09:46 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Oct 09, 2017 06:09:46 Success: Email Sent to: bacerojc@yahoo.com

Response ID:10 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Helen beck

Postal address

1 pine tree lane korokoro

Email

Hazzabeck@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 4: Maximum development

Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

Light projection

Urban design feature eg decorative panels

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Other (please specify): Resident but not ratepayer of Wellington

6. Other comments:

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 24, 2017 23:10:02 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 24, 2017 23:10:03 Success: Email Sent to: Hazzabeck@gmail.com

Response ID:12 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

David Chapman-Taylor

Postal address

27 Edgecombe Street, Newlands

Email

d.chapmantaylor@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Option 3: Medium Development

Quarry the south face - extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site.

This would provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2.

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 25, 2017 01:21:12 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 25, 2017 01:21:13 Success: Email Sent to: d.chapmantaylor@gmail.com

Response ID:6 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Dick Dinsdale

Postal address

21 Gurkha Crescent

Email

d.dinsdale@xtra.co.nz

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Unsure

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).
- 6. Other comments:

An aerial view or Google map view would provide a better indication of the true extent of the proposals 3 & 4

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 23, 2017 22:44:24 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 23, 2017 22:44:24 Success: Email Sent to: d.dinsdale@xtra.co.nz

Wellington City Council Kiwi Point Quarry expansion options

Section 1 — your details * Submitters must include their name and contact details

Absolutely Positively **Wellington** City Council

Me Heke Ki Pōneke

We want to hear your views on the proposed expansion options for Kiwi Point Quarry. You can answer these questions online at wellington.govt.nz/kiwipointquarry, email your thoughts to **kpq@wcc.govt.nz** or post this form to us (no stamp needed). Tell us what you think by 5pm, 30 October 2017. When the proposal is considered by the City Strategy Committee, you can apply to speak during public participation time.

Tell us what you think by 5pm, 30 October 2017.

Privacy statement - what we do with your personal information

All submissions (including name and contact details) are provided in their entirety to elected members and made available to the public at our office and on our website. Personal information will also be used for the administration of the consultation process including informing you of the outcome of the consultation. All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, 101 Wakefield Street, Wellington, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

X Mr Mrs Ms Miss Dr		
Your name*: Roger Balfour		
Your email or postal address*: 18 Dominion Park Street		
You are making this submission: as an individual on behalf of an organisation — organisation's name:		
Section 2 - questions about Kiwi Point Quarry options		
Please select only ONE option - 3 or 4		
1. Do you support option 3: Medium Development Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site and provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Yes No Unsure Why/other comments See comments in 5	Quarry the south face a Space B area to the use a 70m buffer between residential site and pro extend the life of the c to go through a Distric Resource Management	4: Maximum Development and extend quarrying into the Open the maximum land available with the quarrying activity and closest ovide for expected rock demand and quarry by 20 years. This would need t Plan Change process under the Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B npact will be similar to Option 3. Unsure
		oval to be obtained from NZTA) projection

C	7
Ξ	Ξ
Σ	ζ
Σ	₹
2	₹
L	j
C	ر
>	2
ũ	ń
Č	ز
	_

4. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply). Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry Business near Kiwi Point Quarry Organisation near Kiwi Point Quarry (including schools) A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry Other, please state we can see proposed site when standing up at road by our property
1st fold here - fasten here once folded
5. Are there any other comments you wish to make?
Any additional quarry activity will affect us with increased wind, dust and noise directed at our property. We have attempted to counter the current situation by growing trees at the bottom of our section and adding double glazing. We already have issues with winf bringing objectionable odours from the abattoir (Almost given up in dispair trying to have this resolved) so do not want any further changes such as more wind because of the quarry works making this worse
2nd fold here

2nd fold here

Free Post Authority Number 2199

Absolutely Positively **Wellington** City Council

Me Heke Ki Pōneke





FREEPOST 2199 Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation Wellington City Council PO Box 2199 Wellington 6140



Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation -Feedback Form

Response ID:7 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Graeme Swan

Postal address

48 Fitzpatrick St Newlands Wellington

Email

gcswam2013@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): Close the quarry when current supply runs out

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Why would you want to deface the main main arterial route into Wellington? What are we saying, welcome to Wellington, here is a large quarry?

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 24, 2017 19:06:34 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 24, 2017 19:06:34 Success: Email Sent to: gcswam2013@gmail.com

Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation - Feedback Form

Response ID:13 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Sam Thornton

Postal address

76 Black Rock Road

Email

sam.d.thornton@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): Option 1 - stop quarrying once north face depleted

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Planting

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 25, 2017 02:40:42 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 25, 2017 02:40:42 Success: Email Sent to: sam.d.thornton@gmail.com

Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation -Feedback Form

Response ID:11 Data

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Kim Willis

Postal address

87 Black Rock Road, Newlands, Wellington

Email

kimbywillis@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): I don't support either of thiese options

4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)

Other (please specify): None of these options will provide any reasonable screening from a stripped bare hillside. It will be (and currently is) an eyesore.

5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

As was pointed out, expanding this quarry will be of great ecological impact. If Wellington is serious about diversifying our bird/animal life why not take this oppretunity to restore the quarry to a wonderful native bush (see quarry gardens in whangarei as an example). As noted, this is the gateway to Wellington, this is what everyone sees as they drive into our city, what better way to represent our ideals than with an amazing natural reserve right on the doorstep. Not to mention this would be a wonderful steeping stone for Zelandia birdlife to branch out into the northern suburbs!

If you're looking at it in purely financial terms, Horokiwi quarry is a mere 3kms further away and is well back off the road, not in such prominent place! This wouldn't affect people's views (and property prices!). The Petone to Grenada link Road (when built) would be more than capabille of hosting quarry trucks.

I know we would be very annoyed to suddenly be looking at rockface from our deck and I highly expect the people who have just bought in the new 360 subdivision will be VERY unhappy about their spoiled views!

Let's be sensible about this WCC, if we closed kiwi-point we would have a 15 year head start on reforming the quarry into a wonderful sanctuary for wildlife right at the enterance to Wellington! That's an incredible opertunity that would be a shame to waste.

2. Thank You!

Email to Kiwi Point Quarry team

Sep 24, 2017 23:31:02 Success: Email Sent to: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Email to Submitter

Sep 24, 2017 23:31:02 Success: Email Sent to: kimbywillis@gmail.com

Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation -Feedback Form

1. About you

1. Your details

Name

Donaldson Lukose

Postal address

18b Shastri Terrace, Khandallah 6035

Email

dlukose001@gmail.com

2. I am making this submission as

An individual

Name of organisation

3. Which of the proposed options do you support?

Other (please specify): No expansion

- 4. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened during quarrying? (It will be necessary to get approval from NZTA)
- 5. Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply).

Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry Business near Kiwi Point Quarry

6. Other comments:

Potentially more slips will occur as you disturb, drill, blast and move rock and soil from the cliffs, on top of heavy rain in these hill side areas, just looking at the recent major slips.

- Ngauranga Gorge slip 31 July 2017
- Ngaio Gorge slip Aug 2017
- Continuous slips along onslow road, khandallah

This new expansion will further devalue my property and unable to sell the house.

WCC/GWC have recently extended Taylor Preston for another 25 years regardless of any feedback and objection from residence, schools and business directly effected. All the approval condition and obligation by Taylor Preston in the consent has not been communicated to the parties directly effected. This is very disappointing as i been living here since 1998 and attended and presented my view in the formal hearing. In the consent condition the applicant was to communicate the improvements to the impacted parties and inform the community. This has not happened. And now Kiwipoint Quarry is also being extended by WCC. Can you show that Kiwipoint have made reasonable effort since 1998 to communicate its operation and planning to its surrounding neighborhood since 1998 beside emitting dusts and clogging the roofs, vehicles, windows and blasts. Have WCC or Kiwipoint provided pre-warning on blasts so the kids in the schools or at home dont think its an earth quake.?

I dont see any effort by Kiwipoint or WCC's part on providing information to the residence. This is the first communication from WCC since i been here since 1998 on this topic as the license is about to expire. The letter dates 20th Sept 2017 and arrived in my post 29th Sept and the submission closed on 30th OCT 2017. This is again very disappointing the commitment of both WCC and Kiwipoint on informing the community of its intention.



Submission to Wellington City Council:

Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Options

On behalf of: AML Limited t/a Allied Concrete ("Allied Concrete")

Submitted by: Robert Campbell Officer

Contact details: <u>bob.officer@alliedconcrete.co.nz</u>

Allied Concrete supports Option 4. Maximum Development.
Allied Concrete has no specific position on, or preference for, screening options.

Allied Concrete is both a business situated near Kiwi Point Quarry and a user of the products of Kiwi Point Quarry.

It is Allied Concrete's experience that the supply of quality aggregates in Wellington, and the lower North Island generally, is under pressure as a result the large infrastructure projects which are underway. We expect that this period of high demand will be prolonged by

- Reconstruction and asset strengthening following the 2016 Kaikoura earthquake sequence.
- The projected 23% growth in Wellington's population in the period 2014 2043 (source: WCC Long Term Plan 2015 2025), which will necessitate further development of transport routes, systems for the management of stormwater and sewage, and treatment facilities for water etc.
- This population growth is also projected to lead to a 27% increase in dwellings through this same time frame. (WCC Long Term Plan 2015 – 2025).
- Proposed extension of Wellington Airport Runway

All these activities will require substantial quantities of aggregates.

The discussion document "Have your say on Kiwi Point Quarry" acknowledges that "For each tonne of aggregate, the first 30 kilometres it has to travel doubles the overall cost." Were Kiwi Point Quarry forced to close as a result of a lack of resource, development in Wellington city would quickly begin to incur costs for all developments.

Specifically for concrete, each cubic metre of concrete produced requires approximately two (2) tonnes of aggregates materials. These materials account for approximately 22% of the price of a cubic meter of concrete. Requiring all the required materials to be carted from remote quarries would add more than fifty dollars per cubic metre to the cost of ready mixed concrete.

In the year ended June 2017, approximately 200,000m³ of ready mixed concrete was used in the Wellington metropolitan area (source: Statistics New Zealand – Ready Mixed Concrete Production). Doubling the cost of aggregate materials in concrete alone would add \$10 Million annually to the cost of construction in Wellington.

It is improbable that this cost increase would occur immediately. However some portion of it would be incurred in the very near term as it is doubtful if the two remaining quarries would be able to meet the full demand. In the event that the local quarries are unable to fully meet demand for aggregates it is likely that the nearest sources which could supplement the shortfall are more than 30km distant. This is likely to result in greater costs being incurred.

Concrete aggregates only account for around 23% of the sales from Kiwi Point Quarry, the effects of any cost increases would be felt across the entire range of aggregate materials.

Typically Allied Concrete sells up to 50,000m³ of concrete, requiring almost 100,000 tonnes of aggregate materials, into the Wellington metropolitan market. The majority of this aggregate material is sourced from Kiwi Point Quarry. If the quarry closes, these materials will have to be transported to our site, resulting in around an additional 2,500 inbound heavy traffic movements up the Ngauranga Gorge annually. These heavy traffic movements will be slow moving, possibly adding to congestion on an already busy section of the highway network and will, at the least, be a source of frustration for other road users on the Centennial Highway up to Newland Rd.

There will also a general increase in CO_2 , NO_x and particulate emissions resulting from these additional traffic movements.

We note that the area proposed for extraction in the discussion document has already been heavily modified by quarrying activities required for road building during the late 1960's and 70's. The area appears to be largely weed infested with minimal native cover.

We recognise that the residents of the suburbs surrounding the quarry may be affected by increasing the quarry's footprint. However we consider that these affects will be relatively short term and, as the artist's impressions included the consultancy documents illustrate, quickly mitigated. These residents, along with the wider Wellington community will benefit from there being cheaper and less environmentally damaging access to aggregates. We consider that the overall benefit to the community exceeds the inconvenience to the small number possibly affected.

AML Limited t/a Allied Concrete

26 October 2017



Wellington Chamber of Commerce Level 7, JacksonStone House, 3-11 Hunter Street, Wellington

Wellington City Council 3 November 2017

via email: kpq@wcc.govt.nz

Kiwi Point Quarry

The Wellington Chamber of Commerce (the Chamber) has been the voice of business in the Wellington region for 161 years since 1856 and advocates for policies that reflect the interest of Wellington's business community, in both the city and region, and the development of the Wellington economy as a whole. The Chamber is accredited through the New Zealand Chamber of Commerce network and as part of our wider organisation is also one of the four regional organisations of BusinessNZ. Our organisation also delivers ExportNZ to Wellington and the Hawke's Bay.

We are writing to express our support in principle for the expansion of the Kiwi Point Quarry. We support Option 4 - Maximum Development of the South Face.

The aggregate and rock produced at Kiwi Point Quarry is used to build bridges, offices, houses, apartments, tunnels and every road in Wellington. Aggregates makes up 75-90 percent of all the concrete used in construction projects. The quarry plays a key role in the infrastructural development of Wellington and as such, we need to ensure the supply of aggregate and rock can continue from the quarry, and this development will allow it to continue for an additional 20 years.

Furthermore, given the rock fall on Ngauranga Gorge earlier in the year, we support further rock fall protection for State Highway 1 and Tyers Road Business Park.

We support Option 4 given it will provide more capacity for the quarry, and the disadvantages are similar to those of Option 3.

We are however concerned about the lack of detail around the assessment of the ecological effects for the proposed expansion site. We would like to see this detail better outlined and the results of the surveys to be undertaken in the summer months released.

We also note the lack of financial details around this project. Given these costs will be paid for by the ratepayer, we are unable to comment further on whether the project will provide a return on investment, in particular, benefit to the commercial ratepayers of Wellington City who pay 47% of the total rate take, while only making up 21% of rateable property. We would strongly recommend that financial information be included and that this information is made available as soon as possible, to ensure the project can be supported.

Yours sincerely,

James Go

John Milford, Chief Executive, Wellington Chamber of Commerce

Wellington City Council Kiwi Point Quarry expansion options

Absolutely Positively **Wellington** City Council Me Heke Ki Pôneke

We want to hear your views on the proposed expansion options for Kiwi Point Quarry. You can answer these questions online at wellington.govt.nz/kiwipointquarry, email your thoughts to kpq@wcc.govt.nz or post this form to us (no stamp needed). Tell us what you think by 5pm, 30 October 2017. When the proposal is considered by the City Strategy Committee, you can apply to speak during public participation time.

Tell us what you think by 5pm, 30 October 2017.

Privacy statement - what we do with your personal information

All submissions (including name and contact details) are provided in their entirety to elected members and made available to the public at our office and on our website. Personal information will also be used for the administration of the consultation process including informing you of the outcome of the consultation. All information collected will be held by Wellington City Council, 101 Wakefield Street, Wellington, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

Section 1 — your details *Submitters must include their name and contact details

Mr Mrs Ms Dr	
Yourname*: Andre' Paul Carpenter & Alexandrina Hepburn	Carpenter
Your email or postal address*: 39 Guckha Ccs, Khandallah, WLG andre, carpenter@ainnz.co.nz	
You are making this submission: As an individual wife = I on behalf of an organisation – organisation's name:	Aller To

Section 2 - questions about Kiwi Point Quarry options

Please select only ONE option - 3 or 4	79.1	
1. Do you support option 3: Medium Development Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area up to 190m contour, with a 100m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site and provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 15 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Yes No Unsure Why/other comments This will decrease the value of our house, increase dust and noise and be visually unpleasant.	OR	2. Do you support option 4: Maximum Development Quarry the south face and extend quarrying into the Open Space B area to the use the maximum land available with a 70m buffer between the quarrying activity and closest residential site and provide for expected rock demand and extend the life of the quarry by 20 years. This would need to go through a District Plan Change process under the Resource Management Act 1991 to rezone the Open Space B to Business 2. Visual impact will be similar to Option 3. Yes No Unsure Why/other comments
3. How would you like the south face quarry site to be screened of Planting Planting Urban design feature ie. decorative panels I do not think screening is important Other, please comment Dand Go ahead in the floot place	during q ghting f twork	uarrying? (Necessary approval to be obtained from NZTA) leature Light projection

Other, please state	
A business using products from Kiwi Point Quarry A ratepayer who does not live in the immediate vicinity of Kiwi Point Quarry	
Business near Kiwi Point Quarry Organisation near Kiwi Point Quarry (including schools)	
Resident with view over Kiwi Point Quarry	
Which of the following applies to you? (Tick all which apply). Resident on the boundary of Kiwi Point Quarry	

---- 1st fold here - fasten here once folded

5. Are there any other comments you wish to make?

It would appear this expansion of the quarcy is needed to save all catepayers in who from the extra expense of trucking in aggregate, in which case I/we should be compensated for the lose of value to our house and for value of living being down graded. Both of us work shift work so noise during the day is one of the ceasons we purchased at the end of a road. In your disadvantages there is no mention of this.

My suggestion would be that you purchase our property which would also give you better and greater access the ridge from the top, and should the "residential buildings will get extended horbour views" you could make a problet. Personally we don't believe this will occur in our lifetime!

2nd fold here

Free Post Authority Number 2199

Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council



FREEPOST 2199
Kiwi Point Quarry Expansion Consultation
Wellington City Council
PO Box 2199
Wellington 6140